



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_46738
Title of the Manuscript:	Cyperus esculentus: Moisture Absorption Behaviour, Extract Yields and Sensory Evaluation of Soaked Tubers in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please look critically at the abstract and conclusion as well as Figure 1	
Minor REVISION comments	Please endeavour to effect the corrections as stated in the comment boxes in the article. Again, find out the preferred English (British or American) for this Journal and write the correct spellings for some words as; flavour or flavur, colour or color etc	The corrections highlighted have been effected as much as possible. However, corrections for lines 28 and 31 could not be effected because they were written from personal observations since authors grew and live in the midst of the populace and are well acquitted with the use of the beverage in the environment.
Optional/General comments	I noticed that the author did not actually bring into play the control sample in comparison with the treated samples (i.e. the soaked sample). I expected to see where the extract from the control (non-steeped) sample with extracts from the soaked samples but I did not. I suggest you do justice to that, it will bring out the beauty of this write up	This issue, to some extent, has been addressed.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	