



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_47035
Title of the Manuscript:	Microalgae as a source of functional PUFAs: a green low-cost pathway via enzymatic hydrolysis
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good	We really appreciate your compliment. Thanks for your contribution.
Minor REVISION comments	Can include more reviews.	It was added two more references, found after the submission process in order to have a more comparison between the present results in this work and the literature.
Optional/General comments	Justify Nannochloropsis gaditana Selected for the PUF production?	The microalgae Nannochloropsis gaditana was chosen because it was reported in the literature as a good producer of PUFAs. Also, the present results obtained a high amount of linolenic acid (C18:2), an ω6.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	