Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAERI_43781**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Morphological characterization of certain ornamental cacti genera suitable for tropical climatic regimes**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The abstract is not well organized and needs to include results and conclusions in this section.** **The introduction section is not organized too. Eg- First the authors talk about the different subfamilies of cactus and then again jump to say cactus belong to xerophilus family.** **The materials and methods section lacks detailed information regarding the detailed process for collection of data. What are the design of experiments including experimental block, size and replications?** **Check the sentence structure and grammar of the whole manuscript for providing the correct meaning of the sentences.** **The different characteristics of cacti are described in results and discussions section, describe the importance, use and conclusions of this study. Also, focus on practical applications of this research and why it is valuable for future researchers?**	Revisions have been carried out as per the reviewers comment in the revised manuscript and have been highlighted in the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	DO not use certain specific characters? Describe what are they? DO not use title in the abstract section. In keywords, write single word and do not use "cacti genera", use only cacti Do not use "probably" in describing the feature. Change to "Conclusions" and provide a good conclusion of this research.	Corrections have been carried over as per the instructions of the reviewer.
Optional/General comments	There is not good flow of information in abstract, introduction and results & discussions section, please re-arrange the information.	Corrections have been carried over as per the instructions of the reviewer.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	