



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_47392
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Induced Haemorrhage on Thermoregulation, Blood Constituents and Serum Biochemical Parameters in Pregnant and Non-pregnant Rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The theme dealt here is important. I have some advice.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction first line: Cite reference. 2. Abstract: main theme should be the comparison of reaction to hemorrhage between pregnant vs. non-pregnant rabbit and not that of pregnant + non-pregnant animals; however, abstract does not show things. Definitely write the data for the main theme, i.e., pregnant vs. non-pregnant. If there is no difference between two groups, write so. Once again, this is a study on comparison between pregnant vs. non-pregnant. Do not write "all over change" of pregnant + non-pregnant. Did you write thermoregulation data in abstract? 3. Introduction is too long. References more than 30 are cited in introduction. This is quite peculiar. If you wish to write something, then, write it in Discussion. If I were you, I wrote discussion in 1/3. The shorter, the better. 4. Methods: How did you measure 20%? Write how much bleeding was made in each group. 5. Discussion is too long and thus your point is hidden by lengthy explanation. Pregnant rabbit differed from non-pregnant rabbit in what: first, second, third: please write in this reader-friendly manner. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The reference has been cited . 2. The effects of pregnancy on variables has been presented . Also , the results regarding thermoregulation were included in the abstract . 3. Many parameters have been investigated in this study and various sources of information were needed to provide a reasonable background for the studies . 4. The volume of blood bled was calculated according to calculation of blood volume from body weight measured . 5. The differences between pregnant and nonpregnant rabbits have been presented for each parameter .
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	