



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_46219
Title of the Manuscript:	Resistance to carbapenems: Case of three health facilities in Lomé, Togo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Where is reference 16 cited in the text?	Reference corrected
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rephrase the second last sentence in the results section of abstract. 2. Use is instead of are in the last line of the conclusion section of abstract. 3. Line18, use major isolates for most isolated in the sentence. 4. Line 19, use became for becomes and noticed for notice. 5. Line 22, refer to the guideline for citation and maintain uniformity. 6. Rephrase the sentences between lines 25-27, 28-29, 45-46, 62-66, 97-98, 105-107, 111-113 and 121-122. 7. Line 36, remove about and that took place from the sentence. 8. Line 39, try resistant instead of having a resistance. 9. Line 41 and 42, use was instead of is. 10. Line 41, remove the used after within. 11. Line 45, use of instead of at. 12. Line 67, it should be extended-spectrum beta-lactamases. 13. Fill the blank boxes in the tables 1 and 2 with appropriate words and 0 (zero) where there is not any isolates. 14. Line 103, try which instead of what. 15. Line 114, use was in-between, surveillance and based. 16. Line 120, use these are in-between because and found. 17. Line 135, remove 'an' and 'a' from the sentence. 18. Line 136, remove a and the from the sentence. 	<p>Corrected in the abstract All corrections have been made</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Rephrased</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Removed</p> <p>Corrected Corrected Corrected</p> <p>Removed Removed</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	