



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_46219
Title of the Manuscript:	Resistance to carbapenems: Case of three health facilities in Lomé, Togo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title is well conceived but the manuscript is grossly flawed.</p> <p>TITLE Change the title to "Resistance to carbapenems: A case study of three health facilities in Lomé, Togo"</p> <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kindly state the procedures used for the isolation and identification of each bacterial isolate under separate headlines. 2. Also describe the procedures used for susceptibility study and identification of carbapenem resistant isolates under separate sub-heading. <p>RESULTS Review the results provided as percentages in Table 2. For instance, 93,75% should be 93.75%, etc.</p> <p>There is nowhere in the manuscript that indicates that bacterial strains were identified. The authors apparently cannot distinguish between strains and species.</p> <p>Author(s) need to show ethical approval from relevant agencies if the study involve human and/or animal subjects.</p>	<p>Title has been changed</p> <p>We have reworked on the method section and corrected</p> <p>Result has been upgraded</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Reference no.1 should be cited using '[]' instead of '()'	Corrected
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>Author(s) need to show ethical approval from relevant agencies if the study involved human and/or animal subjects.</p>	