Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMB_46439**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Antibiotic resistance profile and molecular characterization of Escherichia coli Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing isolated from Sylvanus Olympio Teaching Hospital in Lomé, Togo**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Always start sentence with uppercase letter. Manage it in the abstract section and throughout the manuscript (like in section 3.4).** **2. In the first sentence of result (abstract), it should be Enterobacteriaceae instead of Enterobacteria. Manage it throughout the manuscript.** **3. Where is reference 14, 15, 16 cited in the text.**	We replaced Enterobacteria.by Enterobacteriaceae **We dealt with the suggested improvment**
Minor REVISION comments	1. Italicize Escherichia coli in the aims section of abstract and in the section 2.3.1. 2. Rephrase second sentence in the methodology section of abstract. 3. In the result of abstract section, it should be imipenem. 4. In the last sentence of introduction, use was instead of is and characterise instead of characterised. 5. In the section 2.3.1, use was instead of is. 6. In the second sentence of 2.3.2, try was instead of and. 7. In the fifth sentence of section 2.3.2, use were instead of are and rephrase the sentence. 8. In the tenth line of section 2.3.2, use generated. 9. Rephrase the second last sentence of section 2.3.2. 10. In the section 2.4, it should be Epi Info and Chi-square. 11. Rephrase the first sentence of section 3.1. 12. From the first sentence of section 3.2, delete percentage of and of from the sentence. It should be ECBLs not BSLEs. Manage it in table 1 and throughout the manuscript. 13. In the first sentence after table 1, delete are from the sentence and rephrase the sentence. 14. In the first sentence of section 3.3, use from instead of 'of'. 15. Divide the second sentence of section 3.3 to clear the meaning. 16. Remove has from the third sentence of section 3.3. 17. Section 3.4, use past tense in the sentences. 18. Use two digits after decimal point. Make corrections in the discussion section and throughout the manuscript. 19. Rephrase the sentence – 'We have observed a lot more resistance of E. coli ESBL-producer isolated from pus and urines specimen, the majority were from outdoor patients, with statistical difference observed' from discussion. 20. In the first sentence of conclusion, try broad instead of large.	We corrected all of point suggested
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	No ethical issue We worked on bacterial strains stored for research purposes;