



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_46686
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial activity of <i>Anabaena circinalis</i> isolated from fresh water comparison with some antibiotic
Type of the Article	Short Research Articles

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is scientifically written in poor English. The authors should pay more attention on scientific writing when presenting their findings in a manuscript. Specially the abstract is written very poorly and contains lot of grammatical errors.</p> <p>The authors should clearly emphasize that extracts prepared from <i>Anabaena circinalis</i> were used for the antimicrobial assay.</p> <p>The authors have determined the total phenolic content but its relevance to the antimicrobial activity has not clearly mentioned.</p> <p>All the references should be in the same format.</p>	<p>thank you ok Modified according to instructions.</p> <p>ok Modified according to instructions.</p> <p>ok Modified according to instructions.</p> <p>ok Modified according to instructions.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	