



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_46889
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICO-CHEMICAL AND BACTERIOLOGICAL QUALITY OF DRINKING WATER SOURCES IN CALABAR MUNICIPALITY, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract Many TYPOGRAPHIC ERROR .Change highlighted portion, change 9cfu100/ml with correct value</p> <p>Methodology Methodology languages should be reframed scientifically Add références in methodology. No need to write METHODOLOGY : SPECTROPHOTOMETER</p> <p>Result and Discussion Reframe sentences in first paragraph in scitific manner.</p>	<p>I have donethat sir</p> <p>I have edited the wholemanuscript sir.</p> <p>I have addedreferences in the methodology sir.</p> <p>Ok sir, noted. I have edited the manuscript to conformwiththat sir.</p> <p>Ok sir.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	References References in text should not be italicised	Noted sir, I have since edited it
Optional/General comments	Many typographic ERRORS SHOULD BE CORRECTED. Methodology be rewritten with acceptable norms. Highlighted lines be reframed Unnecessary italics is used at many places. Correct them Manuscript be accepted after major revisions.	The errors have been corrected sir. Thanks for been there for us sir.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in the manuscript