



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_47132
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of Hemin binding protein of oral streptococci via electrophoresis in SDS polyacrylamide gel
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Kindly write about the rationale of the study.</li> <li>2) Kindly mention the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the study.</li> <li>3) What is the clinical implication/advantage of the study?</li> <li>4) Which statistical analysis was done in the study?</li> </ol>	<p>Thank you for your review.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The basis of the study was rewritten in the introduction (1) section (line 12-17 and 20-22).</li> <li>2) The inclusion criteria of the study are written in the material and methods (2.1) section (line 1).</li> <li>3) The clinical significance of the study was described in the results and discussion (3) section (line 33-39).</li> <li>4) Statistical analysis was not required in this experiment Thank you for your comment.</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>