SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMCS_43234**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Two Step Trigonometric Fitted Method for Numerical Solution of Initial Value Problems with Oscillating Solutions**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript is robust, technically sound and the objective is well evaluated. However, I have the following remarks to make. 1. The author(s) should check the manuscript properly for grammatical error 2. The author(s) should state the source of problem solved 3. Author(s) should give reasons why the solved problem solved are oscillatory as portrayed in the topic. 4. Author(s) may add the basis properties of the method, such as order of accuracy and region of absolute stability. 5. Author(s) should reframe "The method can complete favorably with other existing methods" to The method compete favourably with other existing methods 6. Author(s) should confirm with journals referencing style	(1) we have checked and effect all necessary grammatical error (2) The source of problem solved started (3) reason r why the solved problem solved are oscillatory as portrayed in the topic as been included in paragraph 2 (4) Basis properties such as Order of accuracy is already included (5) '' The method can complete favorably with other existing methods" changed to The method compete favourably with other existing methods (6) we have conform with journals referencing style
Optional/General comments		