



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_45438
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDENTS' PERFORMANCE PREDICTION USING CLASSIFICATION ALGORITHMS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kindly improve the content of your abstract 2. Observe proper use of in-text citation 3. Kindly improve the related literature especially the way how the research was used and cited in the paper 4. Encourage to put additional related literature and studies to establish the proposed project 5. Improve the discussion of data collection 6. Improve the discussion of equations used 7. Improve the discussion of your cross-validation 8. Improve the discussion of your performance evaluation 9. Improve the representation of your Figure 1 10. Improve the discussion of your Figure 1 11. Improve the discussion of results especially the data presented in Table 2 12. Improve your conclusion and try to answer the issue of: because of your proposed project, one will be able to know the academic performance of the students. What are the factors to identify the academic performance so that students will be able to improve in that area. 	Noted and corrected
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kindly check on the grammar flaws of your paper 2. Improve the text presentation in line 101, 108, 112, etc 3. Improve the presentation of equations used in the paper 4. Be consistent with the use of Table caption 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The research is good, however, it would be better if proper issue will be addressed 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	