



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_46791
Title of the Manuscript:	3-DIMENSIONAL COMPRESSIBLE EULER EQUATIONS
Type of the Article	Minireview Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract is not the same thing as conclusion. The author need to rewrite the abstract by include the objective of the study, the method used and results obtained The author needs to include conclusion section in the work There is need for results and discussion section where the author will discuss the results obtained The introduction needs to be improved with relevant recent articles related to the study The reference section should be updated with recent articles on the study All the reference cited in the work is too old. Infant, no single recent article was mention in the work The manuscript need to be expunge of grammatical errors The work needs overall improvement 	<p>I have made the following modification on modifying opinions.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> About abstract, i have rewritten with yellow mark. About conclusion,i have written with yellow mark. About reference, i have modify and add new reference with yellow mark.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	