SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMCS_47754**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Security Considerations in Cloud Computing Environments**
Type of the Article	**Revision of the State of the Art**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: **Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The article basically focuses on a review of the bibliography and the state of the art in the field of Cloud security. However, an article of this type, where no significant contribution, progress or proposal is made to address the problems addressed, should have a much broader, more complete and deeper review of the literature. In addition to including more references, it would be advisable to provide some light by structuring this revision in a logical way. The authors should therefore create a more complex and complete systematic review framework.**	**Some of the comments have be incorporated and some will be taken into consideration in the future work of this research.**
Minor REVISION comments	The article can be improved in terms of structure and orderly presentation of novel ideas. In addition some images look somewhat wrong, in terms of the value scales of graphs and the texts of their legends.	**It is not ours (source has been mentioned). As such, we can't modify it.**
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	