



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_48029
Title of the Manuscript:	Convergence Analysis and Network Properties of Wagner's Artificial Gene Regulatory Network Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>REVIEW COMMENTS -</p> <p>I have gone through the article (Convergence Analysis and Network Properties of Wagner's Artificial Gene Regulatory Network Model), few issues to be addressed before final acceptance.</p> <p>Recommendation - MINOR REVISION</p> <p>Comments-</p> <p>1) Introduction section is very weak, the sentences are not correlating with each other, so i suggest author to re write it again. Also related research is lacking, please include.</p> <p>2) Discussion section is essence of the paper, in the curent state it is very concise, i encourage author to extend results and discussions to few more pages.</p> <p>3) Conclusion and future scope should be included after discussion section.</p> <p>4) The motive of the study is also not clear, please include in introduction.</p> <p>5) Abstract is very poorly written and less instructive, please refine.</p> <p>6) Article should be carefully checked for linguistic as well as grammatical errors.</p>	<p>1) I have revised the Introduction, adding more materials to make the transition more smoothly. I included some related research in the introduction. In fact, I did an in-depth review in a separate paper and I've mentioned it in the Introduction.</p> <p>2) I have included discussion on the model assumptions in the Discussion</p> <p>3) I have included separate Conclusion section apart from Discussion.</p> <p>4) I have included the motivation in the Introduction</p> <p>5) I have revised the Abstract</p> <p>6) I have corrected some grammatical errors</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	