



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_49388
Title of the Manuscript:	Solving Directly Second Order Initial Value Problems with Lucas Polynomial
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Your algorithm is a fixed-step solver (integration step of 0.1). It was also compared with other fixed-step solvers in the study. This fact needs to be included in your Abstract and Conclusion.	The Algorithm is not a fixed solver. Each each step size(0.1 or as the case may be) as being discussed under each Table. Also Abstract must be concise, direct to fact
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Consider presenting the result in Table 1 and Table 3 using graphs.	I prefer presenting my result in Tables for clarity

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	