SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMMR_44509**
Title of the Manuscript:	**SEXUAL EXPERIENCES OF NURSING STUDENTS AND ITS CORRELATES AT THE UNIVERSITY OF BENIN TEACHING HOSPITAL, BENIN CITY, NIGERIA.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	**ABSTRACT** • The abstract should capture only the findings. Table singles 123(95.3%) but now here you indicated 93.7%. which is which? Again, does 93.7% translate to all 129? • Check your work for errors e.g. *Eighty seven (67.4%) were 14 sexually active* (line 13/14). **INTRODUCTION** • Although, the author has provided background information on the topic, there is need to address the specifics rather becoming general. Sexual experiences/activity are wide. • Is it only women who have sexual experiences? You haven't mentioned men in the study (line 35). **METHODOLOGY** • It is not clear whether the author is studying the entire college students or only 3rd year students? Line 62-63 differs from line 64 on who specifically participated in the study. • You need to tell your reader/audience whether the university admits only female students and if not, how did you arrive at female students only? It is not coming from the chapter. **RESULTS** • There is contradiction in your study especially methodology and results e.g. you stated 17 years and above at methodology but now you are mentioning 18-34 years. Which is the right age bracket of the students? **DISCUSSION** • Check line 131-133, what do you discuss here? It's good you discuss only what you have observed/reported in the results section. • Line 134-135 you have claimed nursing is a preserve of female student but you have reported in your findings neither in your literature. • Check on clarity: *Also, from this study, majority (over 60%) of the single ladies have experienced sex (line 136/37).* What does this mean? • Instead of using the phrase "sexual activity" in the entire document, it is prudent you identify these activities for the readers to understand because we understand sex differently. **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS** • Only provide in the conclusions what you have reported at the results section.	All suggested corrections have been made in the abstract lines 12-14 in corrected manuscript. Reference to sexual intercourse (sex) which is the actual sexual experience being evaluated have been made in the corrected manuscript, line 30. Also, men also experience sex and references have been added line 35. All necessary adjustments have been made. Lines 64-68 Necessary adjustments made. Lines 65 in methodology corrected. Re-arrangements made. Literature provided in line 132. Clarity made, see Line 135-136. Sexual intercourse referred to as sex in earlier text Line 30, now used, Lines 152-156. Correction made, Line 212-213
Optional/General comments	The author has demonstrated there is literature and results to report from the study. What is needed is only breaking this information and extracting what is relevant to the topic and the study in general	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	