



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_45479</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>DETERMINANTS OF MALNUTRITION AMONG UNDER-FIVE CHILDREN: A CASE OF ARSI ZONE SELECTED WOREDAS IN OROMIA REGIONAL STATE , ETHIOPIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The results were discussed with few references and little explored in the written text. The conclusions go beyond the limits established by the study objective. No conclusions were drawn based on the considerations brought into the results and discussions. The cross-sectional study should use prevalence ratio (same as relative risk) and no odds ratio. Since it is a question of primary data, and questionnaire application, should be submitted to an ethics commission in research.	I think there are enough reference and we agreed that the conclusion go beyond the study objective and we correct it.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The study does not make clear which determinants will be evaluated in malnutrition. Although the sample size was reported in the summary, it was never mentioned in the method. The methods do not mention details about the variables used in the study (for example, independent variables).	Determinates to be evaluated are discussed as factors!!
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript must undergo a revision of the English language. Textural structures in some places are confusing.	We agree that it is good if English language expert modify the language

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>  <b>Yes. Since it is a question of primary data, and questionnaire application, should be submitted to an ethics commission in research.</b>	Following university standard, patient's written consent has been collected and written approval of Ethics committee has been collected