Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMMR_46101**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Prenatal Exposure to Organophosphate Pesticides in Vojvodina**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	SINCE THE MANUSCRIPT DID NOT HAVE LINE NUMBERS AS PER THE JOURNAL'S PROTOCOL THE REVIEWER HAS NUMBERED THE LINES Abstract: 1) Please structure the abstract under Introduction / Aims, Methods, Results and Conclusions 2) Line 15 – Purpose of the questionnaire 3) Line 20 – acronyms when used for the first time should be written in full (DMP, DEDTP Methods: 1) Line 65 and 66 – Kindly mention how women giving birth to congentially malformed babies were excluded from the study; was it prospectively by an anomaly scan or retrospectively after birth Results 1) Tables have to be placed after their respective text indication 2) Sample collections, Chemicals and methods and gas chromatographi techniques have to be cited using manufacturer guidelines which have to be mentioned 3) Only Table 1 and 2 are a part of the results. Findings from other placed obtained by review of literature tabulated in Table 3, 4 and 5 should preferably e a part of the Discussion section rather than the results. Results is mostly what we have found out in our study rather than other's findings on similar topics. Discussion 1) Line 204 – 209 – references have to be cited appropriately whenever findings from another study are being discussed 2) Table 3, 4 and 5 – kindly bring in clarity regarding the data that has been compared from other places, how has it been obtained, is it from one study or from several studies with each one from a different published paper. Not mentioning the reference to these papers amounts to plagiarism (using data from other studies without acknowledging the authors of that paper)	Thank you for your comments and sorry for the inconvenience that omitted line numbers have made. We have included it in revised manuscript. Abstract: 1) Abstract is now structured as suggested. 2) The purpose of the questionnaire was to gain information about occupation, education, reproductive history, smoking habits, housing and diet. 3) Dimethylphosphate and diethyldithiophosphate were added before acronyms as they were used for the first time. Methods: 1) Those women were excluded after the baby was born and after physical examination. Results: 1) Thank you for your comment. Tables are now placed after their respective text indication. 2) Thank you for this notice. We added requested references (number 9 and 10 in references) in the revised manuscript. 3) Thank you for this notice. The authors agree with your finding and therefore we placed the tables 3, 4 and 5 in the discussion part. Discussion: 1) We added references whenever we discussed the findings from another studies 2) These tables regarding results from the study conducted in Palestine and Caribbean islands where from one paper each and these two papers where cited but we added reference below the tables so that now it is clear from which paper these results are from. Additionally, during our preparation to write this paper we contacted authors.
Minor REVISION comments	Introduction: 1) Line 49 – decrease in gestational age can be replaced by preterm labour to make it more meaningful and obstetrically accurate 2) Kindly consider elaborating on the objective of the study, by mentioning the primary and secondary outcomes of the study Material and Methods 1) Line 62 – Capital letters in the middle part of the sentence are linguistically incorrect – kindly change to lower case 2) Line 64 – kindly write the full forms of the acronyms used 3) Line 84 – samples were stored for how long Results 1) Line 133 onwards – all decimals places have been indicated by a (,) rather should be indicated by a (.) as per standard conventions Discussion 1) Line 176 – spell check	Introduction: 1) The authors agree and changed the terminology using now preterm labour 2) Thank you for this suggestion. We added primary and secondary objectives of the study and believe that results show the outcomes adequately Material and methods 1) Capital letters in this sentence were changed to lower case 2) Full forms of acronyms HIV and AIDS where added 3) Samples were stored until the measurement was taken which meant several days in some cases but also several months in another Results 1) All commas where changed to dots when considering decimals Discussion

	2) Line 183 – 187 – repetition of the part already mentioned in Introduction, redundant part may reduce reader interest, kindly consider removing	1) We did the spell check and changed to exclusively 2) We agree fully with this comment and removed this part from discussion
Optional/General comments	1) Citations to be mentioned in square brackets 2) All information obtained from other papers need to be cited appropriately in the text and papers 3) Discussion is well written 4) Declaration of the authors with respect to the Declaration of Helsinki is missing, declaration with respect to declaration of conflict of interest in missing	1) Citations are mentioned now in square brackets 2) We added references in text and tables where needed 3) We added competing interests, consent and ethical approval with respect to the Declaration of Helsinki in the manuscript

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	Certain work in the manuscript needs referencing and citation in text and tables	Thank you for your comment. We added references whenever findings from another study were mentioned and we also added references in tables with results from another studies.