SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMMR_46101**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Prenatal Exposure to Organophosphate Pesticides in Vojvodina**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors appear to use commas instead of decimal points and this tends to make numerical values very confusing. While is it probably correct for the reader to assume that 60,34% means 60.34% does the same apply for the concentrations listed? When reading about 5,714 µg/L is it actually 5.714 µg/L?? Please go through the text and amend accordingly Corrections to language can be found by track changes in the attached manuscript Please state how the samples were anonymised to protect patient privacy while still associating each urine sample to the correct questionnaire	Thank you for your comments. Using commas instead of decimal points was technical error and we corrected it throughout the text and in all tables. In addition, and following your comments, corrections to language were made accordingly. Each questionnaire was labelled with number and letter which was later used while analysing urine samples and in further statistical analyses to protect patient privacy. This sentence was added in the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	It would be best to include in supplementary a copy of the consent form presented to patients and questionnaire used	We included a translated copy of the consent form presented to patients and questionnaire used as a supplement.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* All patients gave informed consent but there is no information about how each sample was barcoded (i.e. associated with a patient and questionnaire without using the patient's name)	Each questionnaire was labelled with number and letter which was later used while analysing urine samples and in further statistical analyses to protect patient privacy. This sentence was added in the manuscript.