



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_46102
Title of the Manuscript:	The biopsy of the undescended testis: yes or no?
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is informative and discussed about the undescended testicular effects on fertility of male reproductive system. Reduced male fertility became one of the major problems in men's health at present scenario. In this context this article will have impact on scientific community. This review can be accepted with few minor revisions.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Article should be thoroughly formatted as per the Journals guidelines. 2. Introduction and other sub heads should be included. 3. Diagrammatic representation of spermatogenesis in normal and undescended testis should be included. 4. Add a paragraph on reasons for testicular undescend in humans.	1. Thank you for your comments. The authors formatted article as per the Journals guidelines for the review article. 2. Introduction and subheadings are included in revised manuscript. 3. Thank you for this comment and suggestion. The authors added diagrammatic representation of spermatogenesis in normal and undescended testis. 4. In introduction, subheading: incidence and aetiology, we added reasons for cryptorchidism in humans.
Optional/General comments	Accepted after minor revisions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	