



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_46102
Title of the Manuscript:	The biopsy of the undescended testis: yes or no?
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author neglected discussing the drawbacks of testicular biopsy such as prolonged anaesthesia, haemorrhage and effect on other testis due to harassment of blood testis barrier.</p> <p>In page 6 line 14 the author stated that the age of orchiopexy has changed to 6 months as stated earlier although what was stated earlier was that the age changed to 4 months</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments and suggestions. In respect to this comment we decided to add discussion about prolonged anaesthesia and haemorrhage. The blood-testis barrier is first formed in the prepubertal period as the junctional specializations between Sertoli cells are absent until about 8 years of age. Orchidopexy is usually performed before this period, but this discussion is also added in the manuscript.</p> <p>Thank you for this notice. This was a technical error and is now changed to 4 months in full-term and 6 months for pre-term babies as stated earlier in the manuscript.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I generally disagree with using questions either in the manuscript titles or within the manuscript. I opt for informative sentences in academic writing.</p> <p>What about incidence of fertility and need for biopsy in ectopic testis and retractile testes especially prolonged ones.</p>	<p>The authors agree with this comment and corrected questions into informative sentences.</p> <p>Although this paper deals with the undescended testis, the authors added the text about retractile and ectopic testis with respect to this subject and cited several studies.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	