



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_47755</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Correlation of GSTP1 polymorphism with severity of prostate cancer in an Eastern Indian population</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Authors need to work on the language of the paper. There are many grammatical mistakes throughout the manuscript which need to be corrected. Once authors improve the manuscript in this regard, they should submit it again. Authors may acquire the services of a native English Speaker to improve the language and grammatical aspects of this manuscript. Because of poor language and grammatical errors, the abstract looks scattered and the authors fail to convey their findings properly. Abstract background needs to be written clearly. Reframe the sentences with proper spacing to make a clear statement about the topic. In present form, the meaning is changes because of improper formatting. Abbreviations like Alw261 and ISUP should be explained in the abstract as abstract needs to stand alone. So the meaning of every word should be clear for a new reader. Page 2. GSTP1-1 is the most widely ..... sowell studied... Authors are advised to check the spacing in this sentence. Also clearly state of there is any differenc between GSTP1-1 and GSTP1-1. Why one of them is in italics in the same paragraph? I believe the authors should rewrite this para to explain their views clearly. Change the heading- Materials and Method to Methodology. Mention the place and time of study clearly. Where is the hospital and mention the departments clearly. Grammatical mistakes have crept in. Please see. Authors have done a nice study but minute grammatical mistakes and spacing errors have been noticed throughout the manuscript. References should be in the same font and formatting as the body of the manuscript. Make sure the table is on a single page, viz Table 1, especially when there are only 2-3 rows in the table. Hence authors are advised to revise this manuscript keeping in view the above comments.</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The grammatical mistakes of the language have been corrected.</li> <li>2. The irregular spacings have also been corrected.</li> <li>3. As advised, the full forms of ALW261 and ISUP have been added in the Abstract.</li> <li>4. As advised, the italics and bold markings have been clarified in the page 2.</li> <li>5. As advised, the clarifications about the place and duration of the study have been mentioned.</li> <li>6. As advised, the tables have been rearranged to be on the single page.</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	NIL	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NIL	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	