



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_47875
Title of the Manuscript:	SOCIAL SUPPORT AND DEPRESSION AMONG PATIENTS WITH TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS ATTENDING A SECONDARY HEALTH CARE FACILITY IN SOUTHWEST NIGERIA
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The study explored the prevalence of depression among diabetic patients and assessed the correlation between the level of social support provided to these patients and the degree of depression. The results from this study is of value to consider these aspects for developing future strategies in the treatment of depression in diabetic patients. The manuscript can be further improved by improving the discussion and has to be corrected for typos and grammatical errors.	The discussion had been improved upon. Typo and grammatical errors were corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> YES	All the respondents were informed that their participation was voluntary, and



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>Informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to data collection. Respondents were informed that participation was voluntary and refusal to participate will not affect care. The participants were assured that they were free to discontinue at any point during the process of the interview without effect on their care. The research was not harmful to the participants. The consulting room set apart for the interview was private and all interviews was conducted only if privacy was ensured. Confidentiality of the information given was ensured. The names of participants were not used in order to maintain confidentiality. Only serial numbers were allocated to the participants.</p>	<p>each of the respondents signed an informed consent form. Privacy and confidentiality of the information given was ensured.</p>
--	--	---