



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_47884
Title of the Manuscript:	Low Prevalence of Asymptomatic Malaria in Pregnancy among Subjects Attending Antenatal Clinic At A Tertiary Hospital in Bauchi, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT The author need to address all typographical errors in this section. The numbers in the abstract it is not clear whether those are standard deviations or standard errors, please indicate. In the section of results the author should present the main findings in relation to the aim of the study and leave basic information on socio demographs. In the part of conclusion the author need to be clear which preventive methods and the sentence line 22-24 reads as if it is a recommendation and not conclusion. Usually the conclusion must be drawn from the results.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION The author need to introduce the problem of the study in this section. The author has focused on symptomatic malaria and is less clear on the asymptomatic malaria .Please revise this section to reflect the focus of he study.</p> <p>METHODOLOGY The author need to be systematic in terms of the work done in this section.study area, population sample, and data analysis The section on data analysis need to eb re written. Explain clearly all variables involved in data analysis statistical tests used are not fully mentioned. Analysis of factors affecting the low prevalence may be considered. Explain teh source of kits used in diagnosis of malaria . who did the test, which qualifications.</p> <p>RESULTS Tables are not shown but are cited table 1 and 2.</p> <p>Discussion The author need to acknowledge sources of information by citing references. Eg line 149-153. The author need to include the role of chonroitin sulphate A in relation to why multiparous mothers are less susceptible to malaria infection and is it peripheral malaria or placental malaria</p>	<p>The abstract has been re-written</p> <p>Some additional statements have been provided</p> <p>Some corrections have been effected and duly highlighted</p> <p>Corrected</p>
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Mothers diagnosed of asymptomatic malaria in this study how were they managed in accordance with the Nigerian malaria control policy. Were they left to go or they were attended medically. Please state.</p>	Statement on this is provided in the methodology