



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_47941
Title of the Manuscript:	PATTERN OF MATERNAL GROUP B STREPTOCOCCUS (GBS) COLONIZATION IN RELATION TO CD4 COUNT AMONG HIV POSITIVE WOMEN IN JOS
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Citation should be within parenthesis and not superscript. Refer to the guideline of the journal and make corrections throughout the manuscript. 2. Make uniformity through guideline in referencing. 3. Table 2, data for Non-Pregnant Women is missing. Make corrections. 	I agree with the reviewers' correction and have affected the corrections as highlighted in yellow.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line 24 and 47, remove in from the sentence. 2. Line 103, put full stop at the end of sentence. 3. Line 176, try some other word for 'discovered'. 4. Rephrase the sentences between lines 181-183 and 187-189. 5. Line 184, make corrections for correlate. 6. Line 191, use difference for different. 	<p>In has been removed from line 24 and 47 as well as all other recommendations have been effected in the article.</p> <p>Sentences in line 181 – 183 and 187 – 189 rephrased.</p> <p>I await any other recommendation and correction that may arise again.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues but as per international or university standard, written approval of ethics committee has been collected and preserved by the authors.