



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48043
Title of the Manuscript:	Early infection of bilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty with <i>Salmonella</i> Typhi. Case report and review of literature
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The radiographs and photos show an osteoporotic, obese, old woman. Osteoporosis means susceptibility to develop osteonecrosis which makes the joint more prone to infection and mainly acute infections. We don't know the clinical and the radiographic phenotypes of the pelvis and the lumbar area? It seems a big adventure operating on joints in patients with osteoporosis with obesity.. Especially, in severe cases, surgeons are dealing with fragile and fragmented bones which are easily get infected. Authors need to provide the bone density results of this patient. Authors are also need to read the paper published by Ortiz-Neu et al (Bone and joint infection Due to Salmonella, J of infe. Dis.1978). Salmonella typhimurium and Salmonella enteritidis as were the most common serotypes involved with bone infections.	There was no osteoporosis- what is seen is probably the way it was taken.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	