



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48111
Title of the Manuscript:	Depression and Suicide: The Need for Awareness of Signs of Suicidal Cognitions and Lethality
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This paper aims to summarize, in an easy to remember way, the signs and symptoms of impending suicide. It is unclear who would be helped by this – there is a vast literature on this subject and mental health counsellors are aware of this information. It would be useful, however, for teachers and certainly for friends and perhaps for family members of depressed youth. The authors also need to make it clear why they are basing their paper on mainly one prior paper and in what way their contribution differs. My recommendation is, therefore: Address family, friends, teachers e.g. non-professionals Emphasize that this is a teaching article meant to summarize and simplify the task. Point out how this summary differs from what has already been written.	Thank for the review We have correct all the issues raised by the reviewer
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	