



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_48238</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ZINC SUPPLEMENTATION AS ADJUNCTIVE THERAPY IN ADULTS WITH TUBERCULOSIS IN CALABAR, NIGERIA: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL</b>
Type of the Article	<b>ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	--	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	--	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p><b>Article is well written and contains good data correlation.</b></p> <p><b>Number of cases are little less for good outcome for this dreaded disease.</b></p>	<p>Thanks immensely for your kind words regarding our article. We agree that the sample size of 81 eligible from 182 assessed for eligibility ( Please see the Study Profile for more details) for this study <b>may appear</b> to be small given the high prevalence of TB in low-and middle-income countries for which the setting of our study Nigeria; is one. However, in determining the sample size for this particular study, we duly followed scientific process for estimating the minimum number of subjects that could be included in each arm of the experimental groups (Please see the methods section of our submitted Manuscript for further clarifications).</p> <p>Experimental studies operate within pre-set borders that allow for significant measurable outcomes to be assessed and hence generalizable within the set contexts (though not without some reasonable limitations that need to be clearly stated). We believe that the benefits of this kind of study lie in its provision of significantly sufficient information that could allow for replication of study on a larger scale.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	