



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48650
Title of the Manuscript:	OprD genes detected in Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates from a teaching hospital but lost in a carbapenem-resistant strain
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Lines 45-47 are results Results should not started by figure or table , the author should put an introductive sentence : lines 127 and 134 Lines 166-169: if the <i>oprD</i> genes are down regulated, i think that they can be amplified ?no? why not a mutation? Here the gene must'not be amplified, if the mutation is important??	Lines 45-47 have been deleted. Lines 125 and 134 have been corrected. Down-regulation has been replaced with mutation from line 166. Lines 177 and 181 corrected
Minor REVISION comments	There is a repetition between the results(lines 134-136) and the legend of table 3 (lines 145-147) one of them should be left!	The legends in Lines 145 -147 deleted
Optional/General comments	Genes should be wrote in lower case letter <i>oprD</i> in the text Some orthographic mistakes should be corrected	<i>oprD</i> is now used

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	