



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48887
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude, Practice Towards Breast Cancer and Breast Self-Examination Among Female Undergraduate Students in Karachi, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract section this sentence Please write main points of the research methodology applied should be removed as it is a construction for the authors.</p> <p>In methodology section: the last paragraph starting with Give adequate information to allow the experiment..... to the end should be deleted as it a instructions for the authors.</p> <p>In result section: table 3 should be referred in the text headed as Attitude and practice toward Screening</p> <p>In discussion section: in last paragraph; what doses KAP and NGOs stand for?? It is better to provide the complete name and contraction between brackets</p>	<p>All changes incorporated.</p> <p>KAP full form is mentioned in key words.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Few misspelling mistake in discussion section	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?		