



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_48887
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude, Practice Towards Breast Cancer and Breast Self-Examination Among Female Undergraduate Students in Karachi, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The aim of the manuscript was to assess the certain aspects of knowledge and methods of examination for identifying changes in breast cancer. The title is well chosen, in accordance with the content of the article. The abstract is fairly well structured, pointing out the goal of the research. The introduction should contain more data from the scientific literature. The description of the data for the study should be better described and methods used should be better structured. The statistical evaluation more detailed. The conclusions are in agreement with the results. Most of the references are recent and relevant for the study. The English spelling requires corrections.	Methodology is very much explanatory. All rest changes incorporated.
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	