



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_49479</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of Factors Influencing Adherence to Malaria Microscopy Diagnosis in the Treatment of out-patients at Kisumu County Referral Hospital in Kenya</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The work is very interesting, since it is something that usually goes unnoticed and yet it is a very important aspect in the diagnosis for treatment, especially in areas where malaria is in the elimination stage and as there are not many cases, less experienced microscopists can give a false diagnosis by not training their eyes a lot.</p> <p>I only have one suggestion, it seems to me that the title has to be fixed, since reading is confused and it seems that the study was done in patients and not in microscopists and doctors. It is important that in the title it appears that the study was done for both professionals.</p> <p>I liked how the discussion was made.</p>	It's about the testing and how the treatment is done. Treatment was important because we evaluates treatment based on test result.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In the introduction it is not important to mention the part of the treatment, it could be eliminated.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues.