



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49479
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Factors Influencing Adherence to Malaria Microscopy Diagnosis in the Treatment of out-patients at Kisumu County Referral Hospital in Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I am not sure that the authors can definitively determine the Factors Influencing Adherence to Malaria Microscopy Diagnosis in the Treatment of out-patients at Kisumu County Referral Hospital in Kenya. I suggest that the authors should recognize that their results are rather limited. Is there any analysis or comparison to determine significance of these influence?	This has been noted as a limitation and been highlighted as in the document.
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	The references are sufficient, the results are clear, and the discussion is sufficient. The paper is well written.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	