



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_49687
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Optic Disc and Vertical Cup Disc Ratio among Glaucoma Suspects in a Black Population
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>(1). Please cross check for minor sentence punctuations and grammertical errors, and correct them accordingly.</p> <p>(2) (a). Please move the explanations of the Tables and Figures from under the respective tables and figures to under your Results, then make reference to the Tables and Figures as the explanations progresses.</p> <p>(b) The content of the tables and figures should be explained under Results in the text, and not under Tables and Figures. Make reference to them, as their explanation progresses, before the appearance of the respective tables and figures.</p> <p>(3). Kindly move the approval by the Ethics Committee of the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, to the end of the article, before the references. This will give room for the continuation of your methodology.</p> <p>(4). Indicate your citations with reference numbers appearing in normal numerals, preferably enclosed in a bracket [], as stated in the authors' guidelines, and not as a superscript.</p> <p>(5). In your references, please cross check, and close the spacing of the co-authors' initials and adopt the journal's style of using a comma (,) as the punctuation separating co authors' names. Kindly correct accordingly.</p> <p>Note that all references should follow the journals prescribed style.</p> <p>Eg, reference to a journal, for published paper: 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. Please refer to the paper template or authors guidelines at www.sciencedomain.org/journal/23/authors-instruction, for further clarifications.</p>	<p>1. Noted</p> <p>2. Noted</p> <p>3. Noted</p> <p>4. Noted</p> <p>5. Noted</p>
Optional/General comments Red highlights on manuscript signifies delete /make corrections. Green highlights on manuscript signifies add /corrected.	<p>Comments</p> <p>Good research article with good methodology. The tables and figures were self explanatory. However, the explanation of tables and figures ought to have been done within the text under the Results, and not below the tables. See authors guidelines on tables and figures.</p>	Noted



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues encountered