



SDI Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JAMPS_46509</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Haematologic and Gastric Histological Changes Associated with Administration of Ground Nutmeg (<i>Myristica fragrans</i>) Seed on Adult Male Albino Wistar Rats</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Paper</b>   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)  |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>1- It would be useful mention of the biochemical form of ground nutmeg seed</p> <p>2- More reference related to clinical effect and bioinformation about this plant could enhance authors suggestion</p> <p>3- Did authors perform power analysis before the number of animals in the groups was determined?</p> <p>4- Authors have indicated that rats weighing 180-200g were used in this study but we can infer from Table 2 as if lighter weight animals were performed</p> <p>5- It is not clear according to which group comparisons in Table 2 were realized.</p> <p>6- In Table 3 were not explained the differences with markers</p> | <p>Noted; but could not find such.</p> <p>Noted. There are no clinical trials as regards therapeutic dosing, hence, could not get the type of reference you demanded.</p> <p>Please, i want some explanation as regards what you meant by power analysis.</p> <p>Noted. 148 g to 200 g. Printing error. Thanks.</p> <p>Noted. More clarifications now added.</p> <p>Noted but did not understand exactly what is demanded of me.</p> |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |  |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | <p>7- Several spelling mistakes should be corrected and article writing language should be improved</p>  | <p>Noted. However, the several spelling mistakes were not indicated by you and places where the article writing language should be improved were not drawn attention to by you..</p>   |
|                                     |  |  |

**PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.   |