



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_47722
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship Between Different Anthropometric Measurements and Interleukin-1 β , Interleukin-17, Interleukin-27 and Interleukin-35 Levels For Iraqi Infertile Women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Needs institutional ethical approval	My dear in my country, Iraq, there is no institution to get from it ethical approval but that in place where samples to be taken the informed and signed consent should be taken from all participated cases and this I did, as I took confirmed and signed consent and quite permission from all cases to get blood samples and information from them and to be in this article.
Minor REVISION comments	shaded	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Lack of ethical approval	Confirmed and signed consent were obtained from all patients enrolled in this research.