



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_47341
Title of the Manuscript:	Autoimmune Haemolytic Anaemia in Patients with Cancer Diagnoses
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The authors say that AIHA is common in lymphoid malignancies. What do the authors consider about the reason?</li> <li>2) The Hbs of patients contain from 3.3 g/dl until 15.3 g/dl. The level of Hb depends on the chemotherapy. The chemotherapy will be related to hemolysis. The referred participants should be considered about these situations.</li> <li>3) AIHA is reported in several solid malignancies at another report. The authors must touch the mechanisms of AIHA in solid cancer in other reports because such hemolysis isn't found in the study by the authors inDISCUSSION.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) This has been addressed in lines 230 – 231</li> <li>2) Comment noted</li> <li>3) This has been done (lines 240 – 242; 245 - 247)</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The lines between 38 and 41 must be changed into METHODS.</li> <li>2) Some lines are hard to be read. The revised manuscript should be read by another native speaker in English.</li> </ol>	Manuscript has been corrected for typographical errors and some sentences have been rephrased for clarity. (HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW in the manuscript)
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	