



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_48129
Title of the Manuscript:	Low Total White Blood Cell Count and High HDL- cholesterol in breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy at Cape Coast Teaching Hospital; A longitudinal single center study.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In methodology section: the kit used for detecting lipid profiles should be provided.</p> <p>In result section: it should be; Table 1 describes the general characteristics of breast cancer patients. Of the 51 participants, 43.1% of them were within the age ranged from 46 to 60 years, 51.0% were married, 74.5% had informal form of employment, 60.8% had invasive ductal carcinoma (NOS) 45.1% and were overweight.</p> <p>Try to use different name to differentiate between both types (invasive ductal carcinoma (NST), and invasive ductal carcinoma (NOS))</p> <p>Table 3 is shifted in the second page between the paragraphs talking about the second dose (the format needs to be fixed).</p> <p>Try to rewrite this section in understandable way: Overall our study confirms.....</p>	The manuscript has been modified thoroughly
Minor REVISION comments	Way of writing reference should be unified (between brackets or superscript) Grammar should be revised	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>