

Editor's comment :

1. In the objective the acid lime is reported as Tahiti' of the genotype TSKC x (LCR x TR) – 017 while in the title this is referred to the rootstock. What is the right one? I mean the TSKC x (LCR x TR) – 017 is the Tahiti lime genotype ion the rootstock? The authors should not answer to me but should clearly state it in the manuscript.
2. In the conclusion there are some abbreviations not mentioned earlier (the authors should first specify what these abbreviation mean and then used them).
3. The flavonoids are not correctly measured. The reference cited refers to the analysis of anthocyanins and there is nowhere in the specific chapter a method describing how the flavonoids are measured.
4. There are still some errors in the graphs, as the Y axis is not stated properly.

The authors should also seek help from a fluently English speaking person as there are many grammar mistakes in the text.

Author's feedback :

1. The genotype used was (TSKC x (LCR x TR) - 017), it was grafted with the Tahiti acid lime tree forming a plant.
 2. The abbreviations have been corrected at the conclusion
 3. He methodology of flavanoids and anthocyanins is the same, which changes only the absorbance used in the spectrophotometer, ie, 374 nm for flavonoids and 535 nm for anthocyanins.
 - 4.The indications of the y-axis in some of the graphs are abbreviated, however, just below in the caption of the figure can identify the abbreviations referring to each of them.
- The monoscript was reviewed by an English teacher.