



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_45594
Title of the Manuscript:	CHANGES IN CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND NUTRITIVE VALUE DURING GRAIN DEVELOPMENT OF THREE VARIETIES OF MAIZE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work is done well, but the discussion cannot be accepted. Namely, the references in the discussion are too old, there are not mentioned newly researches. Moreover, there is not stated the general conclusion of the work, that is necessary at the end of disscussion part.	Ok noted.
Minor REVISION comments	Always write result in the past tense. Averages tested by LSD test are followed by non-adequate numbers, as far as I know.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	