



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46082
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Production of Watermelon Grown Under Seaweed Extract
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Please provide the entire composition of the product you are using. Whole Product Name is Acadian@?</p> <p>In figure 1 c , the variants with water are not visible. Please use another type of graph.</p> <p>I'm not sure what the bibliography editing rules are, but it's not written in a unitary way, it's not alphabetically ordered. Authors must strictly follow the editing rules.</p> <p>The text also includes some Portuguese words. These must be translated by the authors into English.</p>	<p>- Yes, the full name of the product is acadian. Being this one produced by Anadian Seaplants Ltd.</p> <p>- In fact, there was overlap of the image. However, the values are described and visible. Such overlapping justifies the similarity of the values and the statistical difference of the treatments.</p> <p>- According to what I identified in the writing rules for authors, the bibliography follows the order of citation within the text.</p> <p>- I agree with the statement. Changes made. Thanks!</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Materials and methods respect standards for this research.</p> <p>Authors use relevant and current references during discussion.</p> <p>Statistical analyses are proper for this research.</p> <p>Conclusions are based on the author's data, presented in the article.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	