



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46194
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Planting Value of Rice Seed through Priming with Humic Substance
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>- Checks have been made on the manuscript. Please refer to it.</p> <p>-Authors have presented results from ONE YEAR experiment. Therefore their work should be submitted as SHORT RESEARCH ARTICLE and not as Original research paper. Authors could resubmit their work as SHORT RESEARCH ARTICLE following the general guideline for authors regarding the specific paper type structure.</p> <p>-Authors could added analyse macro elements</p>	<p>The experiment is a laboratory experiment and was conducted twice in one year for validation of result.</p> <p>The duration of the experiment does not justifying waiting for two years hence, results of the two experiments was presented.</p> <p>However, if the editor considers that the manuscript only fits Short Research Article, The Authors has no objection.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	