



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46489
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality of Watermelon 'Top Gun' Fruit Submitted to Different Irrigation Depths in the Northwest Region of Espírito Santo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The authors did not explain how they computed the variables in the equation 1 such as net radiation, soil heat flux, the slope of vapore pressure...etc 2- Line 151 to 153 are not clear enough. Please elaborate more on these variables and the analysis of the variance 3- Add more information in the conclusion especially issues related to the variations of the characteristics analyzed (line 242) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The meteorological variables were measured by an ONSET® weather station. ETo was estimated by the Penman-Monteith method FAO-56 Standard. 2. Corretions were made. 3. Information were add.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1-Rephrase the sentence «The treatments...(irrigation + precipitation)» in the abstract 2- In Line 84 Use soil heat flux instead of flow of heat in the soil 3- Rephrase statement in line 120-121 «the data...software R[13]» 	All corrections were made.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	