



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46605
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF CRISIS ON YAM PRODUCTION IN SOUTHERN AGRICULTURAL ZONE, NASARAWA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

rawa

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Topic = It should be EFFECT OF FARMERS – HERDSMEN CRISIS ON YAM PRODUCTION IN SOUTHERN AGRICULTURAL ZONE OF NASARAWA STATE, NIGERIA</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>Line 6; Put OF FARMERS – HERDSMEN before crisis and of before Nasarawa</p> <p>Line 7= The first objective should be to describe the yam farmers' socio-economic characteristics</p> <p>Line 12 = questionnaire not questionnaires</p> <p>Line 12 =Use of frequency distribution table</p> <p>Line 13 = Not the study but the result revealed</p> <p>Line 14 = Which currency denomination did you use to quantify the total variable costs?. Pls. indicate.</p> <p>Lines 17 and 18= Pls. quantify the production as you did in total variable cost</p> <p>Lines 23 and 24= Delete 'ensure maximum security on lives and properties of prospective citizens and farmers' and join the sentence to immediately after herdsmen to form a good sentence. Then the remaining lines 20 and 22should be recast for another good recommendation.</p> <p>Keyword FARMERS – HERDSMEN, CRISIS, YAM PRODUCTION, SOUTHERN AGRICULTURAL ZONE, NASARAWA STATE, NIGERIA</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>Line 31 – 33; Do you mean the conflict is economic livelihood or search of it?.Pls. explain yourself out. Your statement is not clear. Most importantly your introduction of conflict is not very ideal. You could have introduced the conflict as one of the problems of the farmers, then your further explanations as contained in your work.</p> <p>Line 33 ; WEST Africa; w should be in capital letter as well.</p> <p>Line 41; Bermadet, (1999) not cited in the references.</p> <p>Line 44= There should be full stop after Nigeria. Then start with capital letter.</p> <p>Lines 41 to 49= Too lengthy to have been cited by Tonah(2006), based on where you placed the author. Pls. recast using simple sentences and appropriate citations.</p> <p>Line 49; Please use several and delete some.</p> <p>Lines 54 – 57= Pls. cite the author(s).</p> <p>Lines 60 – 64= These statements have been stressed in different ways in the previous write up and could mean repetition. Delete them or recast with more information and inset</p>	<p>Title has been revised</p> <p>Corrected accordingly</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>All corrections have been done</p> <p>Introduction section corrected</p> <p>All comments are considered and corrected accordingly</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>in Line 49 before some studies.</p> <p>Line 66 = All the states there should be started with capital letters</p> <p>Line 69 – 71= Recast the research question in past tense.</p> <p>Why research questions (Lines 69 – 71) differ slightly from the objectives of the work (Lines 74 – 76). Take second look at the first objective to first research question. Why?. As result, I suggest you delete objective line 74 and replace with <i>describe the yam farmers' or respondents' socio-economic characteristics</i>. This could help to remove the problem of analysing the objective(line 74) as it do not contain in the analysis you did in Result and Discussion.</p> <p>Pls. you can number your objectives in Lines 74 – 76 after your amendments.</p> <p>Line 75 you don't determine but identify</p> <p>Line 81This is Materials and Method not Methodology and no. appropriately.</p> <p>Lines 83 - 89 are not correct as you are describing Nasarawa State as against your study area. Delete them.</p> <p>Pls. discuss the study area based on longitude and latitude, population of the area and land mass, boundaries, number of blocks and circles , climate as regards to temperature and relative humidity and altitude of the place. Add these information to what you are having in lines 89 to 97.</p> <p>What is the sub topic? This is Sampling procedure and Sample Size</p> <p>Your sampling method is good but the construction and grammar are not fine. Please, recast.</p> <p>Lines 115 and 116 ; group discussion should be deleted and replaced with oral interview</p> <p>Line 116; Recast</p> <p>Line 123; Recast socio-economic variables on yam production; to describe yam farmers' socio-economic characteristics.</p> <p>Line 126 = insert Model Specification and number it appropriately.</p> <p>Line 146 = Number it as you numbered line 138</p> <p>Line 153 = RESULTS AND DISCUSSION; Numbering should be in Roman numerical; 3 not iii.</p> <p>Line 163= Bracket 90%</p> <p>Line 165 = Put coma(,) after men. Also, put more after among .</p> <p>As well put the before the fact.</p> <p>Line 165= Johnson, Dingkuhn and Jones (1998) not in your reference</p> <p>Lines 167 to 169 = Recast the sentence and the grammar.</p> <p>Line 172= Put working before population</p> <p>NIB Pls. join Table 1a and Table 1b as one table and number the table as 1(one) and use it</p>	<p>Corrected</p> <p>Section has been corrected</p> <p>Corrected</p>
--	--	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>in reporting all that objective.</p> <p>Line 180 to 182= Report in past tense to be consistent with your work.</p> <p>Line 180= Bracket 38.3%</p> <p>Line 183= Respondents not respondent</p> <p>Lines 185 and 186= What is the source of the information.</p> <p>Line 188= Bracket Negash with the date of publication.</p> <p>Line 190= Bracket 45%</p> <p>Lines 190 and 200 = Report in past tense. Also delete per household. Pls. bracket 38%</p> <p>Line 123. Put comma (,) immediately after conflict and put it in small letter.</p> <p>Line 247 – 248 =Why Table 2b, while all the contents are in your Table 2a. Delete table 2b and add to Table 2a topic (line 226) and after before the conflict. Also delete 'a' from Table 2 and report the finding using the new change accordingly.</p> <p>Line 215 = Separate messer from et al.</p> <p>Lines 252 to 254= Recast into simple sentences</p> <p>Line 256= Not respondent but respondents</p> <p>Lines 260 – 265= Recast. Too lengthy for a single sentence. Pls. break them into meaningful simple sentences.</p> <p>Line 266 = Respondents not respondent's</p> <p>Lines 266 and 267 = Discuss the result.</p> <p>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</p> <p>Your conclusion should be salient points objective by objective. Recast.</p> <p>Also, the recommendations = lines 281 to 285 = are just as found in the abstract, lines 18 to 23. Pls. recast or otherwise means repetition</p> <p>References</p> <p>There are lots of cited literatures in the body of the work but not in the reference; For example; Messer, et al, 2001(line 215), Negash (2007)= Line188; Muneer (2008)= line 184, Tanko, 2013 = line 258, Ogumbameru (2001)= line 158, Akimbile (2007),= line 171, Migap and Audu, 2012).= line 6</p> <p>Please, I didnt see FAO (2013) = line 299 in your reference in the body of your work.</p> <p>Line 300= Crosscheck spelling of INONI with Ike and Inoni (2006)in line 258</p> <p>Line 300 = Start the word determinant with capital letter and even yam in line 302.Also, in line 300 and 301, please put South East in capital letter and there should be full stop immediately after Nigeria . Then ,J in Journal should be started with capital letter</p> <p>NIB= Your arrangement of your references are not in line with science domain method. These relate to arrangement of literatures and writing of dates of publications. Pls. consult their referencing pattern in their web site for a guide</p>	Conclusion section modified
--	--	-----------------------------



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	