SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_46658**
Title of the Manuscript:	**FLORISTIC AND PHYTOOSOCIOLOGICAL SURVEY IN A CAATINGA FRAGMENT UNDER EXTENSIVE GRAZING IN PATOS-PB MUNICIPALITY**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**2. Material and methods:** first para-Correct coordinates with directions • Figure 1. – Revise location map with details and marking place of the study • phytosociological parameters phytosociological parameters- methods area based upon the reference; Why this methods is proposed however there are other methods exist for this study so detailed methodology required in methodology section. **3. Results and discussion:** • Table 1. Recheck calculation especially IVI • Para.. As for the superiority of these species in the phytosociological parameters…….. Very long sentence-Difficult to understand-Revise and simplify in 2-3 sentence of the para. • Specify major findings and discussion of floristic composition and diversity • As review has discussed environmental variables and anthropogenic activities; better to focus this issue discussing with findings of the study • Elaborate concluding part focusing way forward for the researchers for further study **Reference** • Change Authors name small letter-Follow authors guideline for referencing	The coordinates were corrected and the map was elaborated in more detail highlighting a study area. In relation to an editable measure in this study. The sampling requires all possible combinations of sample units from the sample set, with the selection of each sample unit. sample should be free in any way, following the methodology of the Protocol of Permanent Parcels Measures (CAATINGA FOREST MANAGEMENT NETWORK - RMFC, 2005), with parcels with the standard size. In relation to the calculations of the IVI, it had surpassed the value 300. In the whole state checked and corrected. The paragraph has been revised and simplified for the best understanding. Regarding the results and discussion of floristic expression and diversity, more discussion and references were added. The same was done for a part of the proposed environment and anthropic activities. At the end, a report was prepared on research work All references have been corrected following as the authors' guide
Minor REVISION comments	Language and grammatical errors exist in this paper-Revise 1. Better to include more review of works with previous methodological approach for this study and change in diversity due to manmade/natural impacts 2. Revise abstract omitting general description 3. Previous findings of the similar researches and work done in the study area	1. One more review of work was included, as suggested by the reviewers. 2. In the insert was added to more information, a small introduction was elaborated and in the material part and methods more information 3. Results have now been expanded from previous research to this work to further increase.
Optional/General comments	More works is required from field level data, enumeration, interpretation and representation	More work has been added. However I am open to new suggestions to enrich the work. Thank you very much for the suggestions. Of course, I hope that I have complied with all the suggestions proposed.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	Does not exist