



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_47374
Title of the Manuscript:	Residual Dry Matter, Weeds and Soil Aggregates After Winter Cover Crop
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT: The conclusion was lacking. What do these results say? or contribute? Was the goal achieved?</p> <p>RESULTS: The result must come straight and put the significance at the end, it can be even inside ()</p> <p>Orders should not be placed who was higher or lower when there is no significant difference between treatments. Review all results,</p> <p>We used a lot of comparisons of results with other literatures. These comparisons can only be used if the other studies were carried out under the same conditions, otherwise the production, for example dry mass, can not be compared. Review all results</p> <p>CONCLUSION: The conclusion should meet the objectives of the research, however it no just repeating the results, so it should be redone.</p>	<p>We have corrected the major issues raised by the reviewer</p> <p>Section has been corrected as per the suggestion</p> <p>Conclusion revised</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	