



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_47473
Title of the Manuscript:	Establishment of native trees on degraded Caatinga sites
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Add current references to the introduction.</p> <p>Insert the map of the study area in line 70</p> <p>Remove i.e.: (line 106) Write as 6L not 6 L (line 106) Write as 30cm x 30cm x 30cm (line 125-126) Write as 9m x 9m (line 130)</p> <p>Check similar measurements and write appropriately</p> <p>Insert the paper page (Line 309) Insert the paper page (Line 315) Insert the paper page (Line 323) Insert the paper page (Line 333) Insert the paper page (Line 351) Insert the paper page (Line 354)</p>	<p>1</p> <p>2 Map inserted</p> <p>3 i.e. removed</p> <p>4 Similar measurements checked and written appropriately</p> <p>5. Insertion of paper page: not necessary to this type of reference, according to the Author's Instructions at http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/51/authors-instruction.</p>
Optional/General comments	A very good research work. Need to add flesh to the conclusion.	Sorry, but we revised the conclusions and could not envisage any flesh addition to them, as they cover all the objectives proposed in the paper

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	