



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_47501
Title of the Manuscript:	Monitoring the maturation of crambe seeds using X-ray image analysis
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: first step, it is necessary to speak on the species (crambe), then on the germination thus on the new technique. - Report the exact purpose of using this technique for this species. - To find links between the different parts of the introduction (to have coherence).</p> <p>Material and methods: -Line 45: 2.1 Location and characterization of seed: -Add climatic (temperature and relative humidity) and edaphic (soil texture) conditions of the seeds. -Line 52: Fig. . Criteria used to categorize seeds based on pericarp color: add a number for the figure.</p> <p>-add a scale for the photos. Results and discussion - add a scale for the photos in `Fig. 1. Radiographic images of crambe seeds` Conclusion the conclusion is very short, it must be enriched.</p>	<p>Introduction: We appreciate all contributions made by the reviewer. - The exact purpose is clearly described in this study objective. - We appreciate the suggestion, but the authors felt that the text is coherent and all paragraphs are arranged in a logical and related way.</p> <p>Material and methods: All suggestions were accepted and all corrections were made in the manuscript.</p> <p>Results and discussion All suggestions were accepted and all corrections were made in the manuscript.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	