SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_47616**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Coverage and use of the soil of the hydrographic sub-bowl of Rio Espinharas, PB / RN / PE with emphasis in areas of permanent preservation (APP) and areas of restricted use (AUR)**
Type of the Article	**Original research papers**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The paper is scientifically robust and technically sound, and it is highly recommended for publication**	
Minor REVISION comments	**Wrong position of figure 1 title, this should be corrected. There should be justification for the methodology and there should evidence of this from previous literatures. There was nothing on how the software's utilize were standardise**	Thanks in advance for your suggestions. In relation to the titles of the figures, they were corrected In the methodology were added some parts to enrich the work
Optional/General comments	**If possible, the researcher can complement the use of satellite images with field experimentation. This would made this paper stand out among others**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript