



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_47692
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of a low-cost camera for agricultural applications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Introduction	1. Authors should provide some of the challenges to obtaining low-cost images and the problems that existed before now which low-cost images can solve. This information is necessary to justify the statement in lines 47-48.	Information regarding the challenges of obtaining low-cost images with good quality were included as recommended.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Conclusion	1. The concluding part of the study should state the implications and recommendations.	Implications and recommendations for future studies were included as suggested by reviewers.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	