



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_47910
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield and Physical Quality of the Yellow Passion Fruit under Spacing within Plants and Water Salinity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is important because it provides information to improve the management and performance of the yellow passion fruit culture in situations of irrigation with saline water The objective of work is clear and precise. The materials and methods are presented in a clear and complete way The objective of work is clear and precise. The materials and methods are presented in a clear and complete manner. The results are correctly analyzed and are presented clearly in both the text and the figures. The discussion of the results and conclusions are well presented	We are pleased with the reviewer's comments. Considering that no corrections were requested, we did not perform any other alterations in the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	The research is well conducted, the results are clearly reflected in the paper, which are correctly analyzed and interpreted.	We are pleased with the reviewer's comments. Considering that no corrections were requested, we did not perform any other alterations in the manuscript.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No, there are not ethical issues in the manuscript.