



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48356
Title of the Manuscript:	TECHNOLOGICAL CONDITIONERS OF CASSAVA PRODUCTION IN CAMPOS DOS GOYTACAZES - RJ
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is well written and the presentation is clear and attractive.</p> <p>Title needs change</p> <p>Cassava is a shrubs plant. And mechanization is different in cassava plantations.</p> <p>Exposure to farmers' income but there is no data on the yield and age of shrubs</p> <p>Crop rotation and Crop Interaction, How to Contradict</p>	<p>Title Change - New proposed title: Technological Analyze of Cassava Production in the city of Campos dos Goytacazes-RJ.</p> <p>The mechanization in Brazil is based on traditional preparing and handling methods on ordinary soil, on the majority of the cultures, thereat the usage of mechanical and animal traction. On animal traction is frequent the application of yoke and furrow, and on mechanic traction, the application of plowing and harrowing implements, which are coupled to the tractors.</p> <p>Justify farmers wage exposition, therefore there is not data about bushes aging: The performance (productivity) of the culture was obtained by Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE) [Brazilian Geography and Statistic Institute] through Levantamento Sistemático da Produção Agrícola (LSPA) [Systematic Survey of Agricultural Production] that provides statistic data about produced quantity, planted and harvested area, average yield and harvest value.</p> <p>The production and financial yields from researched producers, on other hand, presented a limitation. The raised data was inconclusive e had a large mistake margin. Aiming for the information trustworthiness, we declined these results appreciation.</p> <p>About the bushes ages it is possible to evaluate the variety cycle on table 8, swinging between 8 to 10 months, 10 to 12 months and up 12 months. Notwithstanding, IBGE data do not differentiate the crops performance according the bushes cycle, which naturally implies a limitation on the research.</p> <p>Justify the culture rotation and interaction (rotation / combining):</p> <p>The propose o raising this data was to comprehend the farmers' mindset and their inclination on adopting soil maintenance practices along the year, willing to control erosion in properties, such as promoting the soil maintenance and improvements on cassava production.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Please review the manuscript again	
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	