



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_48356
Title of the Manuscript:	TECHNOLOGICAL CONDITIONERS OF CASSAVA PRODUCTION IN CAMPOS DOS GOYTACAZES - RJ
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No paragraph in abstract. The most accepted Font in Journals is Times New Roman with Font Size 12. 2. Abstract is written with single spacing and not double as corrected. 3. Only scientific names should be written in italics. 4. All tables should be three lines and not more as corrected in Table 1. 5. Tables should first be discussed then followed by the Table and not sandwiching between. 6. The references needs to be numbered and the corresponding number(s) should appear in the text and not authors names consulted. 7. Discussions on some of the tables should have gone beyond explaining the figures on the table only as appeared in Table 12. The possibility why it was so needs to be explained. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Adjusted. 2. Adjusted. 3. Adjusted. 4. Adjusted! However, some tables were unavoidable to maintain more than 3 lines, for a better understanding of the reader. 5. Adjusted. 6. Adjusted. 7. Adjusted.
Minor REVISION comments	The tenses needs to be rechecked properly.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	