



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49030
Title of the Manuscript:	NTFP Utilization and its impact on Poverty Reduction among Rural Women in Ondo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript has a major contribution to knowledge, although it requires major revision before it can be published	I will prefer the author to be more specific. Which of the section(s) need revision.
Minor REVISION comments	Author/s should effect all the corrections referred to in the revised manuscript	Almost all the corrections made by the reviewer has been effected. However the correction on the keywords was not effected. The reason is because I don't see anything complex in any of the key words. Non timber forest product which has four words is a common word that has been used as key word in many papers in many high ranking journals by me and many other authors. A check on the net can confirm this.
Optional/General comments	Tables should be aligned correctly with the title of the manuscript	I don't understand what the reviewer meant that 'Tables should align correctly with the title of the manuscript'. I checked the titles of all the Tables again and I think they are okay to the best of my knowledge. This is not the first manuscript I will send to your journal. More over I have published in many more journals before (both local and international journals). Honestly I found the comment odd.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	