



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49124
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of the sowing speed on the distribution regularity of maize seeds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Good experimental research paper very much fit for publication by JEAI. However, the following points should be looked into before the paper is considered for publication.</p> <p>Subdivide the methodology section into: study area; data collection procedure; and data analysis procedure.</p> <p>Subdivide the results section following the specific objectives of the study.</p> <p>Discussion should be done in a more comparative fashion i.e. compare and contrast the findings of the paper with the findings of other researchers who have conducted related studies. Thus, more papers should be sourced and used to discuss the findings of the paper.</p> <p>Explain the practical and policy implications of the study.</p>	The suggestions made by the reviewers were adhered to.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good experimental paper fit for publication by JEAI. However, the aforementioned comments should be taken into account before the paper is considered for publication.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	